

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/627,229	07/25/2003	Robert C. McDonald	81980A	2445		
7590 06/21/2006			EXAMI	NER		
KRIEGSMAN & KRIEGSMAN			BELL, BI	BELL, BRUCE F		
665 Franklin Street Framingham, MA 01702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<i>3</i> , c			1746			
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/627,229		MCDONALD ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	· 	Bruce F. Bell		1746	I			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS Considerated ATE OF THIS CONSIDERA	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		_ action is non-fir	nal.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requiren	nent.					
Applicati	on Papers			•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ ob	jected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if th	ne drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been rec s have been rec rity documents h	eived. eived in Applicationave been receive	on No	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	` '/'	d .				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	•				
3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-27, drawn to a composite membrane, classified in class 204, subclass 296.
- II. Claims 28-43, drawn to an electrochemical device and a membrane electrode assembly, classified in class 429, subclass 34.
- III. Claims 44-52, drawn to a method of preparing a composite membrane, classified in class 521, subclass 27.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to a composite membrane and an electrochemical device or membrane electrode assembly, respectively. The composite membrane has different utilities and can be used in multiple devices.

Inventions I and III are directed to a composite membrane and a method of making a composite membrane. The composite membrane can be made by a materially different process, such as by chemical polymerization or electrolytic polymerization and using heat or irradiation or by catalyst initiation that is not required in the composite membrane final product of instant claim 1 as set forth.

Inventions II and III are directed to an electrochemical device or an membrane electrode assembly and a method of making a composite membrane. The composite membrane of the electrochemical device can be made in a materially different way by

Art Unit: 1746

for instance impregating the monomer into the proton exchange membrane and then curing.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A fuel cell, an electrolyzer, a sensor, a gas concentrator/compressor, a supercapacitor or ultracapacitor and industrial process unit. The species are independent or distinct because they have different classifications in the art and have different materials such as electrodes and physical make ups which differentiate one device from another.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, a membrane electrode assembly or an electrochemical device is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1746

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Edward Kriegsman on June 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1746

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-1296. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1746

BFB

June 13, 2006

Bruce Bell Bruce F. Bell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

Page 6